anubhavadars; what is the nature of the *anubhava* now;

(*c*) is it a fact that these lands have been sold in pursuance of civil court decree for arrears of kandayam;

(*d*) were the *anubhavadars* informed of the arrears due before the lands were put up for sale;

(*e*) has it come to the notice of the Government that these *anubhavadars* have no means of livelihood other than these lands?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Mada formerly, and now the *khate* stands in the name of Sri Ranganathaswami, Sivasamudram, as per civil court decree.

(*b*) *Khatedar* Mada was not the enjoyer of the lands. Persons other than the *khatedar* were enjoying the lands.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Summons have been served on the *anubhavadars* through the civil court before sale. *Anubhavadars* were made parties to the suit. Decree was given after hearing all the *anubhavadars*.

(*e*) *Anubhavadars* are reported to possess some other lands both in this village and in the neighbouring village of Somanahalli.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Since how long is the Purigali Sarvamanya village under Government management?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Are the Government aware that the inamdar refused to give back the lands to the raiyats when they represented to him that they would pay the arrears due by them?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No such cases have come to the notice of Government.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—With reference to answer (*e*), are not some families who were already poor now rendered helpless?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That question does not arise.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Will the Government at least enquire whether they are poor and whether they are not left in a destitute condition being deprived of the lands which were the only means of their livelihood?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No one can prevent difficulties arising out of the default in payment of land revenue dues. Even if an enquiry is made, is it at all possible not to execute the decrees?

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Will the Government at least see that the lands are given back to them if they paid the decretal amounts?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The parties may represent to the revenue authorities concerned in that matter.

Mr. Rangadhamiah's Nomination to Mysore City Municipal Council.

Q.—12. **Messrs. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA** (Nagamangala Taluk); **T. MARIYAPPA** (Mysore City Municipality); **B. CHAMIAH** (Seringapatam Taluk); **B. S. PUTTASWAMY** (Nanjangud Taluk) and **SIVANANJE GOWDA** (Mysore Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) is it a fact that Mr. Rangadhamiah has been nominated as a member to the Mysore City Municipality in a vacancy;

(*b*) has it come to the notice of Government that Mr. Rangadhamiah has been a school master under the Mysore City Local Education Authority;

(*c*) will the Government be pleased to place on the table the order of Government nominating him;

(*d*) did not Government re-nominate Mr. Valivulla Saheb who had ceased to be a municipal councillor before this?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes, after he was nominated.

(*c*) *Vide* Notification No. L. 299, dated 10-7-1936, in the *Gazette* dated 16-7-36 (Page 381, Part III—3).

(*d*) Yes.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—How did the Government come to know that Mr. Rangadhamiah was the head master of a middle school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Was any recommendation received for his nomination?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Was it suggested in the recommendation that he was the head master of a middle school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—I cannot say definitely without records.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Somebody must have recommended that he was a middle school head master. Who is it?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That is the point on which I would like to have notice.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Who recommended his nomination?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The President of the Mysore City Municipal Council.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Before the recommendation by the President for his nomination, did the Government not know that he was the head master of a middle school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Was it stated in the recommendation that he was head master?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Did Mr. Rangadhamiah himself apply for nomination?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Are the Government aware of the publication of an article in the *Janavani* to the effect that he was a primary school master and not head master of a middle school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Was there any resolution by the Municipal Council to the effect that the nomination in question was contrary to rules?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Did he vacate his seat under orders from Government or under the provisions of the City Municipalities Regulation?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—According to the provisions of the Regulation, he vacated his seat.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—It was stated that the President recommended his nomination. Did the Government question the President why he misrepresented that Mr. Rangadhamiah was the head master of a middle school when in fact he was the head master of a primary school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—There is no question of misrepresentation. Mr. Rangadhamiah was found to have been nominated against the provision of the law and so he was removed.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—The whole question is still under the consideration of the Government. Final orders will shortly issue.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—Will the Government pass orders on the question as to whether the nomination itself was valid or not?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—What action should be taken on all matters, is under the consideration of the Government.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—After nominating a person as a member of the Municipal Council, is it just to withhold giving effect to that order of nomination?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—If there is objection petition, what can be done?

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—The order of nomination was published in the *Gazette* dated 16th July 1936. When did he vacate his seat?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—He vacated his seat when it was known that no longer he could hold it.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Are the Government aware of a memo passed by the President on 24-9-1936 in regard to this matter?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—When did Mr. Rangadhamiah vacate his seat?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—We do not know.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Then, when did the Government come to know that he was the head master of a primary school?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—May I know what difficulty there was to take action immediately after it was known that a mistake had occurred?

Rajamantrapravina N. MADHAVA RAU.—The mistake was not known in the beginning. Otherwise it could have been rectified immediately. We had to make enquiries.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Were two months required for this purpose?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Certain legal questions were involved and to come to a decision on them, it took naturally some time.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Did not the Government know at the time of nomination that he was the head master of a primary school?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Merely because he was a primary school head master, that was no disqualification for nomination. There were other considerations.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Was any legal advice taken on the matter; and if so, what was it?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Yes, but the details cannot be disclosed. All things were considered and the nomination was found to be invalid. The other matters are now under consideration.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—When was the memo passed by the President? Was it after the interpellation was sent to the Representative Assembly?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Before the interpellation was sent up to the Representative Assembly.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Does that not go to show that Government were aware of the fact that he was a primary school head master?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—There was some doubt as to whether a subordinate of the Local Education Authority was disqualified in the same way as a subordinate of a municipal council and whether the two are to be regarded as two different statutory bodies or the same for this purpose.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—In the opinion of Government, nomination is invalid. Government are considering what steps should be taken in the matter.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Whom did Mr. Rangadhamiah succeed and to which community did he belong?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Mr. B. L. Maridandiah, an Adikarnataka.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Why was Mr. Maridandiah removed?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—That question does not arise.

Appointment of Dr. Misra as Assistant Surgeon.

Q.—13. **Messrs. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA** (Nagamangala Taluk); **T. MARIYAPPA** (Mysore City Municipality); **B. CHAMIAH** (Seringapatam Taluk); **B. S. PUTTASWAMY** (Nanjangud Taluk) and **SIVANANJE GOWDA** (Mysore Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have not appointed one Dr. Misra as an Assistant Surgeon in the Mysore Medical Department and posted him to Krishnarajendra Hospital;

(*b*) whether he is a Mysorean;

(*c*) to what community does he belong;

(*d*) whether there was a vacancy; if so, whether it was advertised;

(*e*) when did he apply for a job and what was the post he applied for?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Brahmin.

(*d*) Yes. Vacancies of Assistant Surgeons are not usually advertised.

(*e*) 20th May 1936, for a post in the Medical Department.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—With reference to answer to (*d*), was not the appointment newly created?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—No.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Was there a vacancy?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Yes.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Why was it not advertised?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Vacancies of Assistant Surgeons are not advertised. Recruitment in the department for gazetted places is generally to the rank of medical probationers.